mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com tunninghan@sheppardmullin.com tanninghan@sheppardmullin.com tunninghan@sheppardmullin.com tunningham@sheppardmullin.com tunn	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON L. A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations GARY L. HALLING, Cal. Bar No. 66087 JAMES L. McGINNIS, Cal. Bar No. 95788 MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH, Cal. Bar No. 200 TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM, Cal. Bar No. 243694 Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4106 Telephone: 415-434-9100 Facsimile: 415-434-3947 E-mail: ghalling@sheppardmullin.com imcginnis@sheppardmullin.com	3524		
SAMSUNG SDI AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SDI (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD., SAMSUNG SDI MEXICO S.A. DE C.V., SAMSUNG SDI MEXICO S.A. DE C.V. SAMSUNG SOI MEXICO S.A. DE C.V. SAMSUNG SOI BALCO S.A. DE C.V. SAMSUNG SOI M	8	mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com tcunningham@sheppardmullin.com			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL No. 1917 DECLARATION OF TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM IN SUPPORT OF SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79- 5(d) [Samsung SDI defendants]	10 11 12	SAMSUNG SDI AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SDI CO., LTD., SAMSUNG SDI (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD., SAMSUNG SDI MEXICO S.A. DE C.V., SAMSUNG SDI BRASIL LTDA., SHENZEN SAMSUNG SDI CO., LTD. and			
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., Case No. 13-cv-1173 SC San FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL No. 1917 DECLARATION OF TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM IN SUPPORT OF SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-5(d) [Samsung SDI defendants]	14	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT		
In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL No. 1917 DECLARATION OF TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM IN SUPPORT OF SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79- 5(d) [Samsung SDI defendants] [Samsung SDI defendants]	15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION MDL No. 1917 DECLARATION OF TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM IN SUPPORT OF SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79- 5(d) [Samsung SDI defendants] [Samsung SDI defendants]	16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
CUNNINGHAM IN SUPPORT OF SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-5(d) [Samsung SDI defendants] [Samsung SDI defendants]	18		MDL No. 1917		
	202122232425	Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et	CUNNINGHAM IN SUPPORT OF SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79- 5(d)		

1. T	YLER	Μ.	CUNNINGHA:	M. declare	as follows:
------	------	----	------------	------------	-------------

1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and an associate with
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP, counsel of record for defendants Samsung SDI
America, Inc., Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., Samsung SDI Mexico
S.A. De C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzen Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., and Tianjin Samsung
SDI Co., Ltd. (collectively "SDI") in these actions. I make this declaration in support of
Plaintiffs' Sharp Electronics Corp. and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America,
Inc. (collectively "Sharp") Administrative Motion to Seal portions of Plaintiffs' Opposition to
Thomson S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss Sharp's First Amended Complaint pursuant to Civil Local
Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) (Dkt. No. 2289) (the "Motion to Seal"). Except for those matters stated on
information and belief, about which I am informed and which I believe to be true, I have personal
knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and could and would testify competently to each of
them

- 2. SDI has disclosed or produced to the parties in this action certain documents and information designated as either "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order entered on June 18, 2008 (Dkt. No. 306) (the "Protective Order").
- 3. On December 23, 2013, Sharp filed the Motion to Seal, and lodged conditionally under seal Plaintiffs' Opposition to Thomson S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss Sharp's First Amended Complaint (the "Opposition"). I have reviewed the portions of Sharp's Opposition sought to be maintained under seal, the Declaration of Craig A. Benson in Support of Sharp's Opposition to Thomson S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss Sharp's Amended Complaint ("Benson Declaration"), and the exhibit thereto.
- 4. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(e), this Court's General Order No. 62, Electronic Filing of Documents Under Seal, effective May 10, 2010, and the Protective Order, I make this declaration on behalf of SDI to provide the Court with a basis to maintain under seal certain documents and information quoted from, described, or otherwise summarized in

5. Sharp's Opposition references or directly quotes from (1) portions of its First Amended Complaint in this matter (Dkt. No. 2030-4) and (2) exhibits that SDI has designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. All documents and information sought to be sealed by Sharp's present Administrative Motion have previously been subject to administrative motions to file under seal in this case, and the Court has granted such motions. *See* Order Regarding Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 2211); Order Granting Sharp Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal Documents Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) (Dkt. No. 1852).

- 6. Specifically, upon information and belief, the following portions of Sharp's Opposition quote from, describe or otherwise summarize documents or information that SDI has designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential:" page 2, lines 10-22; page 5, lines 10-24 and lines 27-28; page 6, lines 21-24; page 10, lines 8-9; and page 13, lines 1-9.
- 7. The documents or information quoted from, described, or otherwise summarized in Sharp's Opposition consist of, cite to, or identify confidential, nonpublic, proprietary and highly sensitive business information about SDI's market analyses, business practices, internal practices and/or competitive positions. I am informed and believe that this is sensitive information, and public disclosure of this information presents a risk of undermining SDI's business relationships, would cause it harm with respect to its competitors and customers, and would put SDI at a competitive disadvantage.
- 8. Sharp's Opposition appears to quote from, describe or otherwise summarize several "Highly Confidential" documents produced by SDI that the Court has previously sealed. For example, upon information and belief, Sharp's Opposition quotes from, describes or otherwise summarizes the following "Highly Confidential" documents produced by SDI: SDCRT-0088604 to 28; SDCRT-0002526 to 28; SDCRT-0002526E to 28E; SDCRT-0002585 to 87; and SDCRT-0002585E to 87E. These documents are internal reports that contain, cite and/or refer to

1	confidential business information about SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply		
2	plans, or relationships with companies that remain important to SDI's competitive position.		
3	Accordingly, the Court previously sealed these documents. See Order Granting Sharp Plaintiffs'		
4	Administrative Motion to Seal Documents Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) (Dkt.		
5	No. 1852).		
6	9. In addition, the Court recently granted a motion to seal several substantially		
7	similar paragraphs in a complaint filed by Sharp. See Order Regarding Administrative Motion to		
8	Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 2211).		
9	10. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(e), and the Protective Order,		
10	for the reasons stated above, SDI requests that the Court maintain under seal the above-cited		
11	portions of Sharp's Opposition.		
12	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing		
13	is true and correct.		
14			
15	Executed on December 27, 2013 at San Francisco, California.		
16			
17	/s/ Tyler M. Cunningham		
18	TYLER M. CUNNINGHAM		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

-3-